CALL TO ORDER

A meeting of the SIU Carbondale Graduate Council (GC) was held in the Kaskaskia and Missouri Rooms of the Student Center, Carbondale, Illinois, on May 3, 2012. Chair Scott Ishman called the meeting to order at 8:00 am.

Members in attendance were, Gary Apgar (Terry Clark), Sara Baer (Eric Helgren), Scott Collins, Judith Davie, John Dobbins (Craig Anz), Carl Flowers, Susan Ford, Katherine Frith, Constantine Hatziadoniu, Jodi Huggenvik, Holly Hurlburt, Kathy Hytten, Scott Ishman, Levi Jost (GPSC), Kimberly Kempf-Leonard (Alison Watts), Matthew McCarroll, Wanki Moon, Ryan Netzley, Monica Newby (GPSC), Joshua Potter (GPSC), Pamela Smoot, Suresh Tadisina (John Fraedrich), Keith Waugh, and Matthew Whiles. Members not in attendance were Najjar Abdul-Musawwir, Christopher Behan, John Groninger, Benny LeMaster (GPSC), Manoj Mohanty, and Luke Norris (GPSC).

Announcement of Proxies: Terry Clark for Gary Apgar; Eric Helgren for Sara Baer; and John Fraedrich for Suresh Tadisina. Continuing proxies were Alison Watts representing Kimberly Kempf-Leonard and Craig Anz representing John Dobbins for the remainder of the semester.

Ex-Officio members in attendance: Rita Cheng, Chancellor; John Nicklow, Provost and Vice Chancellor; John A. Koropchak, Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Dean; Susan Rimmer, Associate Vice Chancellor for Research; Dean David Carlson, Library Affairs; and Jay Means, Deans' Council Representative.

Other attendees: David Wilson, Associate Dean and Director, Graduate School; James Allen, Associate Provost for Academic Programs; Grant Miller, Professor, Curriculum and Instruction; Linda Herrold, Instructor, MEDPREP; and Tina M. Price, Recording Secretary.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES

After a brief discussion, Professor Ford advised that corrections to the Minutes of April 5, 2012 would be submitted at a later date.

Motion to approve the minutes as corrected for the April 5, 2012 meeting of the Graduate Council duly made and seconded. The minutes were unanimously approved.

REMARKS

Chancellor Cheng

The Southern Illinoisan publication will showcase the campus in Sunday’s paper. Colleges are asked to put forward a copy to complement the publication. Copies of the publication will be distributed across the campus. Extra copies are available from John Koropchak and John Nicklow.

On the construction side, the Chancellor stated that there is a three-page list of much needed repairs to academic buildings, grounds and housing. There will be immediate and long term attention paid across campus, and projects with construction start dates and anticipated finish dates will be cued up for the
campus. Key to that is the facilities maintenance fee. John Koropchak and John Nicklow have been asked to collaborate on prioritizing classrooms, particularly, teaching labs and research labs so that it is transparent about what is being done and, particularly, about the rubrics of how to prioritize the labs; Susan Logue has a handle on the use of classrooms. The Chancellor stated that they will balance disciplines and try to make an impact and a difference.

There has been considerable time spent on budgetary discussions in Springfield. There were narratives about the impact of reducing our budget by 6.14%. There were further discussions on reducing that six percent. The Chancellor felt that we cannot absorb a six percent budget cut without impacting programs and people. The campus will be kept appraised.

Pension discussions continue to be very troubling. The chancellors and presidents across the state have submitted a joint letter seeking a valid solution; one that would change benefits and one that includes the state continuing to have some contribution. The Chancellor explained that if we had a social security benefit in this state, the state would be paying around 6.2%. So the argument is that they should not reduce their contributions lower than that, which means that the employer would not have to pick up quite so much of that burden.

The Alumni Board came in last weekend, and the Foundation Board will come in this weekend.

GC members were asked to peruse the Strategic Plan to provide input, opportunities for fine tuning and adding to the document. The document will remain open after graduation for review.

The Chancellor stated that the SURA representative lobbyist will be on campus Monday night.

**Provost John W. Nicklow**

Laurie A. Achenbach has been hired as Interim Dean of Science beginning August 16. Dr. Mickey A. Latour has been hired as the Dean of Agriculture beginning July 1. Dr. Latour was the Associate Dean at Purdue University.

Enrollment admissions are ahead by 8% and registered students ahead by 5% from last year. To put this in perspective, the most recent comparison data from April 1 shows the state’s freshmen applications were down 10% and admissions down 12.5%, on average. The concerns of registration focus around continuing students; 2,000 Bursar holds have been identified. The Department of Education’s regulation going into effect states that students may no longer use their educational funds to pay back bills. This will have a great impact on students.

Off-campus enrollment and distance education is up 50% to date. Even with the increase, the continuing students and graduate students continue to be down in overall enrollment. The graduate side is up 9% in applications, a significant increase. Admissions run about 7.5% down.

Next week is the first meeting of the Position Request Review Committee to review position requests for tenure and tenure track faculty. The Provost stated that the message to the deans was to consider moving chairs to 10 to 11 months and evaluating their work load, adding that there is a disparity across campus in terms of shared workloads. The incentive would be to reduce administrative costs, and any savings from that would be plowed back into faculty lines in that college. Furthermore, as part of the prioritization plans and the Position Request Review Committee, those colleges stepping up to reduce costs would rise in the priority list presented to the Chancellor. To date, three colleges have come forward and reduced their administrative costs across the board, COLA by 50%, Business and Engineering. There will be more opportunities for colleges to participate in these incentives.
In response to the question regarding chairs and 12 month positions, the Provost stated that chairs working 12 months teaching, conducting research and carrying a significant workload are different from a 12-month position with no research. The intent is to have a chair at 12 months but with soft money, and chairs that do not show up for two months at 50%; to work with deans and better align the departments.

The GC asked that the following be considered by the Provost:

- The fact that the chair is perhaps the only staff available during the summer months to handle student issues.
- Consideration of 11 months for chairs, with 10 months for smaller departments.

Dr. Ford presented the Position Request Review Committee Members:

- Scott Collins, College of Allied Health, representing GC
- Jane Swanson, from Psychology, representing GC
- Stacey Thompson, Curriculum and Instruction, representing Faculty Senate
- Spyros Tragoudas, Electrical and Computer Engineering, representing Faculty Senate
- Steve Esling, Chair of Geology, representing the Deans’ Council.

The Provost added that the Senate Committee will present a replacement for Dr. Tragoudas who has accepted a chair position.

Faculty interview Updates:

- Dean interviews are complete or in the decision making phase.
- Dean candidates for the library and education are on campus today. The MCMA dean candidate will be interviewed this week.

**Announcements**

**Vice Chancellor for Research John A. Koropchak**

There have been a number of retirees at the Graduate School. The Chancellor was presented a Comprehensive Hiring Plan and has approved a significant portion of the elements; that includes the associate dean and director position. A search will begin the end of the summer and beginning of the fall semester.

Events Update:

1. Thanks to everyone who attended the research week; the Undergraduate Research Symposium, Author's Day, St Louis Area Undergraduate Research Symposium (STLAURS), and the Research Town Meeting. Many believed the Research Town Meeting was the best ever and a positive experience. An impressive number stayed for the awards ceremony; approximately 100.
2. There were nearly 80 posters presented at the Undergraduate Research Symposium.
3. Twenty of our students attended the STLAURS, and Gillian Rum, Psychology, won an honorable mention. Our students’ won one of the four awards.
4. The Excellence Awards were presented yesterday. The 2012 Outstanding Scholar Award winner was Theodore Weeks, Professor, from the Department of History.
Grants and contracts at the end of March total $58 million+; $3 million less than the same time last year. This relates to later decisions by the funding agencies than last year and we hope that we will catch up to last year’s number, at least.

We have begun discussions of an interdisciplinary research summit or other events intended to stimulate greater collaboration across disciplines on the campus. The plan is in process and more will come out of that later.

Students and faculty led by Dr. Mohanty are one of 15 groups to be awarded a P3 Grant from the USEPA for concepts related to the use of coal by-products. Our faculty has been taking the leadership for over 50 years in developing technologies that allow the use of coal in environmentally useful ways.

Dr. Thushari Jayasekera from Physics was awarded a very competitive Ralph E. Powe Junior Faculty Enhancement Award from the Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU). She was one of 30 awarded. There were 100-150 applicants for the award.

Associate Dean David Wilson

Thanks to the Fellowship committees who put in a tremendous amount of work this year. There are plans to remodel the procedure to make it more efficient and allow the awards to get out earlier.

On a tragic note, Jane Klucker-Boyle, an admissions specialist in the Graduate School, lost her daughter late last month. There will be services tomorrow.

Associate Vice Chancellor Susan Rimmer

The Interdisciplinary Seed Grant Competition closed on Monday. Plans are to turn them around very quickly and get the word out to any awardees within the month.

Chair Scott Ishman

Thank you to Susan Ford, Vice Chair, for standing in during the absence of the Chair over the past two months.

The Faculty Senate had their last meeting of the 2011-2012 senate, and first meeting of the 2012-2013 Senate in which they elected their officers; Meera Komarraju as President and Terry Clark as Vice President.

Thank you to David Wilson, Associate Dean and Director of the Graduate School, for his guidance and counseling over the past years. He is officially retiring.

Robert’s Rules of Law were suspended for presentation of the following, read by the Chair:

RESOLUTION

In acknowledgement and thanks to Dr. David Wilson, Associate Dean and Director of the Graduate School

Whereas, Dr. David Wilson has served as Associate Dean and Director of the Graduate School since May 1999,
Whereas, graduate education and research has increased significantly at SIU over the past decade, and

Whereas, Dr. Wilson has provided leadership as the Associate Dean and Director of the Graduate School throughout the university and as well as on the Graduate Council.

Therefore, be it resolved that the Graduate Council acknowledges and thanks Dr. David Wilson for his dedication to graduate education and research at SIU, and his commitment to the graduate faculty and all the units that participate in graduate education and research.

The resolution was unanimously approved. The resolution passed.

A plague to be presented to David Wilson was read by The Chair, as follows:

We recognize David L. Wilson for outstanding dedication to graduate education at SIU as Associate Dean and Director of the Graduate School since 1999.

The motion to restore Robert’s Rules of Law was carried and seconded. Motion unanimously approved.

Reports

1. Faculty Senate—Professor Clark (Proxy)

   No report.

2. Report from CPBAC—Professor Ford

   Professor Ford presented a summary of critical discussion items:
   
   a. Presentation of upcoming construction and how it would be funded.
   b. The Strategic Plan Draft.
   c. Internal review of support areas and plans to review units in much the same way as academic units. A sample form was circulated, discussed and returned to the committee for further modification. The process is ongoing.
   d. Announcement of the new Fiscal Officer Policy; fiscal officers will now be at the level of chair, or above. There were discussions on how the change may affect researchers on their grants and the hope that, in going forward, it would not negatively impact their work.

   In response to a question as to whether researchers would still be able to decide how to spend their budgets, Professor Ford clarified, stating that researchers would spend the money, the chair would be the fiscal officer and there would be a level above that as approver. There were further discussions of the policy with regard to fiscal oversight and the internal controls. The policy takes effect on June 1.

3. Nominations to Committees-Professor Ford

   There were no nominations to report.

4. GPSC Report- Joshua Potter
Mr. Potter reported on the election of new officers and representatives for GC during the April 10 meeting.

**GPSC Officers**

- President - Blaine Tisdale, School of Law
- Vice President for Administrative Affairs - Peter Lucas, English
- Vice President for Graduate Student Affairs - Brooke Talley, Zoology

**Graduate Council Representatives**

- Brooke Talley, Zoology
- Luke Norris, Sociology
- Benny LeMaster, Speech Communication
- Ryan Ceresolo, Sociology
- Nathan Rice, School of Law

The GPSC approved 18 travel awards for $250 and 8 research awards for $500 to supplement students working on research over the summer. Plans are to continue this in the fall and spring. Information is available on the GPSC website (http://gpsc.rso.siuc.edu/).

5. **Report of Research Committee—Professor McCarroll**

Professor McCarroll presented a recap of the past year’s activities:

a. Evaluation of peer institutions charging tuition on grants. Recommendations were made to the Provost to develop policies; work is ongoing.

b. Review of the Federal Conflict of Interest Policy; available on the website.

6. **Report of New Programs Committee—Professor Hurlburt**

Professor Hurlburt opened with discussions of old business; RME for the Creation of a MEDPREP Concentration in the Biological Sciences MS Program, and RME for the Creation of a Concentration in Ecology by the Zoology Ph.D. Program.

Guest Linda Herrold, Instructor, MEDPREP, and Jodi Huggenvik were introduced to discuss the revisions made to the MEDPREP Resolution after members addressed concerns about credit hours and how the non-thesis program was explained in the catalog during the April 5 GC meeting. Copies of the following document were distributed and discussed:

a. Revisions to the document titled [Proposed Graduate Catalog Copy: Biological Sciences RME (Fall 20-12)], Biological Sciences, College of Science:
   i. Page 2, MEDPREP Concentration (Non-Thesis), 3rd paragraph, revised to read “Graduation requirements include a minimum of 42 semester hours…”; replacing the original notation of “36.”
   ii. Page 2, Provision 1. revised to read “A minimum of 18 semester hours…”; replacing the original notation of “12.”
   iii. Page 2, Provision 1.a.b.c. revised to clarify the [type] of hours.
   iv. Page 2, Provision 2. revised to note “…graded…”
In response to a question regarding 1.b., Linda Herrold stated that the 4 hours of pass/fail are traditional required classes taken by all MEDPREP students.

Professor Hurlburt read the following:

**Graduate Council Resolution in Support of RME for the Creation of a MEDPREP Concentration in the Biological Sciences MS Program**

**RATIONALE**

Whereas, The College of Science proposes the addition of a MEDPREP concentration to the Biological Sciences MS. Currently, MEDPREP is a post baccalaureate program administered through the SIUC School of Medicine. Participants in the program receive a certificate. And,

Whereas, the proposed change from a certificate to a concentration within Biological Sciences will make graduating students more marketable in expanding fields such as applied research, medical technician and community college teaching or better prepare students for a professional degree; and

Whereas, only one similar program exists in Illinois, so it is anticipated that such a change may increase enrollments; and the proposed change does not require new faculty, staff, facilities or dollars;

**RESOLUTION**

Therefore, be it resolved that the Graduate Council approves the proposed RME for the creation of a MEDPREP Concentration in the Biological Sciences MS Program.

Professor Hurlburt stated she would provide a friendly amendment to accept the changes to the MEDPREP Resolution.

There was a motion to approve the resolution with the friendly amendment.

Request for clarification made by Professor Ford regarding Page 1, “Non-Thesis Option, Admission requirements: 37 semester hours…” versus Page 2, 3rd paragraph “…minimum of 42 semester hours…” Specifically, whether there are two different programs on the sheet. The resultant response was that there were multiple programs in the College of Science and the MEDPREP concentration is just one of them.

**VOTE**

There being no further discussions, The Chair called for a vote. The resolution with the friendly amendment unanimously passed.

There being no further questions brought forward regarding the resolution, Professor Hurlburt read the following:
Graduate Council Resolution in Support of RME for the
Creation of a Concentration in Ecology by the Zoology Ph.D. Program

RATIONALE

Whereas, Zoology proposes to create a new concentration in Ecology within their Ph.D. program; and

Whereas, Ecology is a multidisciplinary field that provides the opportunity for interaction and collaboration by students and faculty across departments, programs and colleges. In 2011, this council approved similar Ecology concentrations in Plant Biology and ER&P. Ecology’s strength at SIUC is further indicated by the success of the Center for Ecology (which does not grant degrees); and

Whereas, the appearance of a concentration on student transcripts will more accurately reflect the broad nature of student training and preparation for multiple opportunities in an expanding job market; and

Whereas, the concentration will require only minor programmatic changes, and will not require new faculty or new resources, and is predicted to increase graduate enrollment.

RESOLUTION

Therefore, be it resolved that the Graduate Council approves the proposed RME for the creation of an Ecology Ph.D. concentration in Zoology.

The motion to approve the resolution was seconded. There being no further questions, call for a vote to approve the resolution carried. Resolution unanimously passed.

Professor Hurlburt presented a wrap up of committee business for the year. Six programs were reviewed and approved:

a. Elimination of the Conflict of Interest Resolution certificate in the College of Education
b. The Manufacturing Systems name change in Engineering
c. MEDPREP Concentration to MS in Biological Sciences
d. Ecology Concentration to PhD in Zoology
e. Resolution for Certificates in Sustainability and GIS in Geography
f. Modification of concentration names in the Department of Geography

Professor Hurlburt stated that the RME from the Ed Psych program was tabled due to the fact that it came too late for review. The committee and Expanded Committee of the Graduate Council requested that it be taken up at the September 6 meeting of the new committee and placed at the top of the agenda. There were further discussions regarding streamlining the process, electronic submissions and making a mandate to have conversations about how to make it better.

7. Report of Program Review Committee—Professor Huggenvik

Copies of Page 2 of the Program Review Overview Draft and the Resolution Regarding the Program Review Overview were distributed to the GC members. Professor Huggenvik stated that the Program Review Committee voted, indicating that the documents were acceptable in terms of the language.
After a subsequent review by Professor Huggenvik, revised documents with handwritten notations were presented to the GC with a friendly amendment. She stated that the revisions were meant to clarify the role of the internal reviewers, specifically:

a. Paragraph 1--that they will be combined in the review process with the external reviewers;
b. Paragraph 3--all reviewers would be together, reducing the burden for everyone, and there would be one report by the external reviewers who were being paid to prepare the report.

Professor Huggenvik stated that the Provost and Associate Provost were aware of the revisions.

After a brief discussion, Professor Huggenvik read the following:

**RESOLUTION**

**Program Review Committee Resolution Regarding the Program Review Overview**

**Whereas,** the program review process is important mechanism to evaluate strengths and weakness of a degree program; and

**Whereas,** the Illinois Board of Higher Education requires each degree program at public institutions to be reviewed at least once every eight years; and

**Whereas,** the Illinois General Assembly requires annual reporting of all public universities concerning underperforming degree programs (as defined by enrollments, graduation, and costs per credit hour); and

**Whereas,** the current Program Review Process (approved by the Graduate Council on September 2, 2010) collects data required by the Illinois Board of Higher Education, but does not specifically gather data for the reporting requirements of the Illinois General Assembly; and

**Whereas,** the Program Review Overview/Guidelines were revised to collect data for reporting to the Illinois Board of Higher Education and the Illinois General Assembly, to reduce duplicative reporting and paperwork by the use of electronic databases, to streamline the review process by combining the internal and external reviews into one, and to increase the implementation of the recommendations resulting from the program review through follow-ups by the Academic Provost for Academic Programs,

**Therefore,** be it resolved that the Program Review Committee recommends that the Graduate Council adopt the revised Program Review Overview/Guidelines.

Professor Ford recommended and moved that the rules be suspended and a vote taken now so that it would be implemented in next year’s reviews. The motion was seconded.

Professor Netzley objected to suspending the rules and moving the resolution forward. There were extended discussions during which he clarified his stance; that it did not make sense as a unified document; that it says we trust the General Assembly to tell us how to do our jobs; and to evaluate it.

Associate Provost Allen offered the following response to Professor McCarroll’s question of whether there were any negative logistics to putting it off during the summer and the delay in action.
a. Reviewers’ names must be presented to Faculty Senate before putting anything to work.
b. Waiting any longer after the normal approval date, the July meeting, would postpone it until September.
c. There would not be enough time to solicit cooperation of people or external reviewers who are already booked for November/December.
d. Any delay means that the dual process would be compromised.

Professor Ford commented, having it in the review is not a change from current practices, nor is it a negative. She stated that enrollment and graduation rates and costs per credit hour had been reported for decades. Having it part of the self-study document gives their department an opportunity to not only report the numbers but to shape the way they report. They can explain as opposed to just reporting the numbers.

The Chair called for a second on the motion to suspend Robert’s Rules of Law. Motion seconded. There being no further discussion, a hand vote was taken. There were 18 in favor, 4 opposed and 1 abstention.

**VOTE**

The Chair called for a motion to vote on the resolution: **Program Review Committee Resolution Regarding the Program Review Overview**. Motion made and seconded.

After a brief discussion, the 5th paragraph was revised, changing, “…Academic Provost…” to “…Associate Provost…” The modifications were so noted. The Chair called for a hand vote to approve the resolution. There were 16 in favor, 4 opposed and 2 abstentions. The resolution passed.

Professor Huggenvik summarized, stating that the work ahead would be for members to continue being internal reviewers and identifying other faculty members for program reviews coming up next year. A list of programs to be reviewed in the coming year was circulated to GC members for their consideration.

8. **Report of Education Policies Committee—Professor Netzley**

Professor Netzley proceeded with the reading of the following:

**Resolution Recommending Changes to Morris, Doctoral, and Master’s Fellowship Award Procedures**

*Graduate Council, Education Policies Committee, 5 April 2012*

**Whereas**, many departments use Morris, Doctoral, and Master’s Fellowships as recruiting tools for truly superior graduate students, both intra- and extra-mural;

**And whereas**, competing institutions increasingly offer fellowship awards and funding packages in early to mid-February across a range of disciplines;

**And whereas**, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale has a university-wide commitment to increasing graduate enrollments;
And whereas, Morris, Doctoral, and Master’s fellowships allow departments to increase the size of their incoming graduate cohort, during particularly periods of budgetary stasis or contraction;

And whereas, the practical mechanism for increasing the speed of fellowship awards decisions will be in place by spring 2013, when the Graduate School will circulate fellowship applications in PDF form, abrogating the need for the slow and cumbersome process of circulating hard copies in separate packets;

Therefore, be it resolved that the Graduate Council recommends to the Graduate School that decisions on both Morris and Doctoral Fellowship awards be made no later than 15 February and that decisions on Master’s Fellowships be made no later than 1 March.

The Chair called for a motion to restore Robert’s Rules of Law. Motion carried and seconded. Motion unanimously approved.

VOTE

The Chair called for a vote to approve the resolution. Resolution unanimously passed.

Professor Netzley explained activities of the Education Policies Committee for any GC members considering joining the committee next year.

9. Old Business:

John Koropchak thanked all the GC members for their thoughtful and careful deliberation during the year.

10. New Business:

Chair Ishman expressed gratitude to the GC members and those rotating off the council. He commended the committees, committee chairs and those serving outside of the council for their additional responsibility.

On behalf of the Graduate Council and the Graduate School, John Koropchak presented the Chair a gavel inscribed “To Scott Ishman, Graduate Council Chair 2011-2012.”

The Chair read the following:

RESOLUTION

Whereas, Susan Ford has served as Vice Chair of the Graduate Council for the 2011-2012 academic year, and

Whereas, she has well represented the graduate education research to the various university constituencies for many years, and

Whereas, she has provided valuable service to the Graduate Council by her wisdom, and

Whereas, she has participated actively in discussions on the future direction of graduate education and research,
Therefore, be it resolved that the Graduate Council, representing Graduate Faculty expresses its sincere gratitude and appreciation to Susan M. Ford for her unique contributions to the Graduate Council.

The Chair called for a motion to suspend Robert’s Rules of Law. Motion made and seconded. Motion unanimously passed.

VOTE

The Chair called for a motion to approve the resolution. Motion made and seconded. Resolution unanimously passed.

Professor Ford read the following:

RESOLUTION

Whereas, Scott E. Ishman has served as Chair of the Graduate Council for the 2011-2012 academic year, and also having served as Vice Chair of the previously Graduate Council, and

Whereas, he has well represented the graduate education research to the various university constituencies, and

Whereas, he has provided valuable service to the Graduate Council by his direction, wisdom, and resourcefulness, and

Whereas, he has accomplished all of the above with composure and style,

Therefore, be it resolved that the Graduate Council, representing the Graduate Faculty expresses its sincere gratitude and appreciation to Scott E. Ishman for his excellent leadership of the Graduate Council.

VOTE

A motion to approve the resolution was made and seconded. Resolution unanimously passed.

Chair Ishman distributed certificates of appreciation to the following members rotating off the GC: Joshua Potter, Luke Norris, Monica Newby, Benny LeMaster, Levy Jost, Keith Waugh, Ryan Netzley, Holly Hurlburt, John Groninger, John Dobbins, Judith Davie, Sara Baer, Alison Watts and Jodi Huggenvik. The members were thanked and given their GC name plaques.

The Chair called for a motion to restore Robert’s Rules of Law. Motion made and seconded. Motion unanimously passed.
**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to bring before the GC, the motion to adjourn was moved and seconded. September 6, 2012, and the Missouri and Kaskaskia Rooms of the Student Center were fixed as the date and place for the next meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 9:33 am.

_________________
Tina M. Price, Recording Secretary

**Attachments:**
- Revisions to [Proposed Graduate Catalog Copy: Biological Sciences RME (Fall 2012)]
- Resolution for MEDPREP Concentration in the Biological Sciences MS Program
- Resolution for Addition of Ecology Concentration by Zoology PhD Program
- Resolution Regarding Program Review Overview
- Resolution Recommending Changes to Morris, Doctoral, and Master’s Fellowship Award